arXiv:1411.6280v2 [math.NT] 29 Aug 2015

# ON RATIONALITY OF CERTAIN TYPE A GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

CHUN YIN HUI

Abstract. Let $X$ be a complete smooth variety defined over a number field $K$ and $i$ an integer belonging to $[0, 2\dim X]$. The absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}_K$ of $K$ acts on the étale cohomology group $V_\ell := H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for all prime $\ell$. Then we obtain a system of $\ell$-adic representations $\{\Phi_\ell\}_\ell$. The conjectures of Grothendieck, Tate, and Mumford-Tate predict that the identity component of the algebraic monodromy group of $\Phi_\ell$ admits a common reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-form (the Mumford-Tate group) for all $\ell$ if $X$ is in addition projective. Denote by $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ the algebraic monodromy group of $\Phi^{\mathrm{ss}}_\ell$, the semisimplification of $\Phi_\ell$ for all $\ell$. Assuming Hypothesis A, we prove the existence of a quasi-split $\mathbb{Q}$-reductive group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathbf{G}^\circ_\ell \cong \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$. Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ be a smooth group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ whose generic fiber is $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. As an application of the main result, we show that $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and the image of the mod $\ell$ representation $\phi_\ell$ have identical composition factors of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$.

## Contents

1. Introduction ..... 2
2. Some results of $\ell$-adic representations ..... 5
2.1. Strictly compatible systems ..... 5
2.2. Formal character and bi-character ..... 6
2.3. Frobenius tori ..... 6
2.4. $\ell$-independence of the $\ell$-adic images ..... 7
2.5. $\ell$-independence of the mod $\ell$ images ..... 8
2.6. Maximality of the $\ell$-adic images ..... 9
3. $\ell$-independence of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ ..... 10
3.1. The invariance of the roots in the weight space ..... 10
3.2. The root datum and conjugacy class of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ ..... 11
4. Forms of reductive groups ..... 15
5. Proofs of the main results ..... 17
5.1. Theorem 1.1 ..... 17
5.2. Corollary 1.2 and 1.3 ..... 20

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F80, 14F20, 20G30.

*Key words and phrases.* Galois representations, the Mumford-Tate conjecture, type A representations.

**Chun Yin Hui**: University of Luxembourg, Mathematics Research Unit, 6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg; email: **pslnfq@gmail.com**.

The present project is supported by the National Research Fund, Luxembourg, and cofunded under the Marie Curie Actions of the European Commission (FP7-COFUND).

Acknowledgments . . . . . 21
References . . . . . 22

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a complete, smooth variety defined over a number field $K$ and $i$ an integer belonging to $[0, 2\dim X]$. The absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ acts on the $i$th $\ell$-adic étale cohomology group $V_\ell := H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for every ordinary prime $\ell$. We obtain by Deligne [11] a *strictly compatible system* of $\ell$-adic representations

$$\{\Phi_\ell : \mathrm{Gal}_K \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\ell)\}_\ell \tag{1}$$

in the sense of Serre [38]. The *algebraic monodromy group at* $\ell$ denoted by $\mathbf{G}_\ell$, is the Zariski closure of the $\ell$-adic Galois image $\Phi_\ell(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{V_\ell}$. Let $\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ$ be the identity component of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for all $\ell$.

Choose an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If $X$ is projective, then $X_{\mathbb{C}} := X \times \mathbb{C}$ is a compact Kähler manifold and the singular cohomology group $V := H^i(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space with a Hodge structure. Denote the Mumford-Tate group of $V$ by $\mathrm{MT}(V)$, which is a connected reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_V$. The celebrated conjectures of Grothendieck, Tate[1], and Mumford-Tate imply the conjecture that

$$\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ \cong \mathrm{MT}(V) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \tag{2}$$

via the comparison isomorphism between $V_\ell$ and $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all $\ell$ (see [43], [36, §3]). This is equivalent to saying that the inclusion $\mathrm{MT}(V) \subset \mathrm{GL}_V$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ \subset \mathrm{GL}_{V_\ell}$ for all $\ell$. It follows easily that the *absolute root datum* of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ$ (i.e., the *root datum* of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ [39, §2]) is independent of $\ell$.

Since $\Phi_\ell$ is conjecturally semisimple (or equivalently, $\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ$ is reductive) for all $\ell$ and our methods only handle semisimple representations, we denote, for all $\ell$, the *semisimplification* (the direct sum of all irreducible subquotients) of $\Phi_\ell$ by $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ and the algebraic monodromy group of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ by $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for simplicity. We say that $\{\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}\}_\ell$ is the semisimplification of the system (1). Since we are only concerned about $\mathbf{G}_\ell^\circ$ and there exists a finite extension $K^{\mathrm{conn}}$ of $K$ which is the smallest extension of $K$ such the Zariski closure of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{Gal}_{K^{\mathrm{conn}}})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{V_\ell}$ is connected for all $\ell$ [35, §2.2.3], we once and for all assume the field $K$ is chosen large enough such that $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is connected for all $\ell$. In [24], Larsen-Pink presented a purely field theoretic construction of $K^{\mathrm{conn}}$.

We embed $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ for all $\ell$. The representation $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ and the algebraic monodromy group $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ are said to be *of type A* if every simple factor of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ is equal to $A_n := \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1,\mathbb{C}}$ for some $n$. This definition is independent of the choice of embedding $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and is equivalent to the one we gave in [19]. Type A representations provide supporting evidence for (2). For example, we showed in [19] that for all sufficiently large $\ell$, $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is quasi-split if $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is of type A. Also, it follows from the the main theorems of

[1]Faltings proved the semisimplicity and the Tate conjecture for Galois representations on the $\ell$-adic Tate modules of abelian varieties [14].

[17] that the complex reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ is independent of $\ell$ if the following hypothesis is satisfied (see §2.4).

**Hypothesis A.** There exists a prime $\ell_0$ such that the followings hold for $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell_0}$:

(*i*) $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell_0}$ has at most one $A_4$ simple factor;
(*ii*) if $\mathfrak{q}$ is a simple factor of $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell_0}$, then $\mathfrak{q}$ is of type $A_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1,2,3,5,7,8\}$.

**Example:** $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell_0} = A_4 \oplus A_6 \oplus A_9 \oplus A_9 \oplus Z$, where $Z$ is abelian.

This paper is motivated by the conjectural isomorphism (2) for all $\ell$. Suppose $X$ is an abelian variety and $i = 1$. Then (2) is the Mumford-Tate conjecture for abelian varieties [26], which has been studied by Pohlmann [27], Pyatetskii-Shapiro [28], Serre [33, 35], Ribet [30, 31, 32], Zarhin [48, 49], Borovoi [5], Deligne [12], Chi [7, 8], Larsen-Pink [23], Tankeev [41, 42], Pink [29], Banaszak-Gajda-Krasoń [2, 3, 4], Vasiu [46], Zhao [50], and many others. When $\mathrm{End}(X_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the root system of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is determined by its *formal character* (see §2.2), Pink proved that the monodromy representation $\mathbf{G}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{V_\ell}$ admits a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form for all sufficiently large $\ell$ [29, Theorem 5.13(d)]. Note that the system (1) is absolutely irreducible in this case, i.e., $\Phi_\ell$ is absolutely irreducible for all $\ell$. For a general system, Larsen-Pink has proved the existence of a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{V_\ell}$ for $\ell$ belonging to a set of primes of Dirichlet density 1 if (1) is absolutely irreducible and satisfies one of the following conditions [22, Proposition 9.10]:

(*i*) the *splitting field* of (1) (see [22, §8.1]) is $\mathbb{Q}$;
(*ii*) the dimension of representations is divisible neither by $3^{15}$ nor by the fifth power of an even integer strictly greater than 2.

Assuming Hypothesis A, the main theorem of this article is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $\{\Phi_\ell\}_\ell$ be the system (1) and $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ the algebraic monodromy group (connected) of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ for all $\ell$. Suppose Hypothesis A is satisfied. Then the following statements hold.*

*(i) The conjugacy class*[2] *of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$.*
*(ii) There exists a connected quasi-split reductive group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that for all $\ell$ sufficiently large,*

$$\mathbf{G}_\ell \cong \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

**Definition 1.** Denote the Galois image $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ by $\Gamma_\ell$ for all $\ell$. Then $\Gamma_\ell$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for all $\ell$.

**Definition 2.** Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group defined over a field $F$ and $\Gamma$ a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(F)$. Denote by $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ the quotient of $\mathbf{G}$ by its radical and by $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ss}}$ the image of $\Gamma$ under the natural morphism

$$\pi^{\mathrm{ss}} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ss}}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ the derived group of $\mathbf{G}$, by $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the universal covering of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$, by $\pi^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the natural morphism

$$\pi^{\mathrm{sc}} : \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}},$$

and by $\Gamma^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the pre-image of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ss}}$ under $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}} \circ \pi^{\mathrm{sc}}$.

[2]The reductive subgroups $\mathbf{G}_{\ell_1} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_1}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell_2} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_2}} \mathbb{C}$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ for all distinct primes $\ell_1, \ell_2$.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ be a semisimple group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ (some $N$) whose generic fiber is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ ($\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in Theorem 1.1). For all sufficiently large $\ell$, we have*

$$\Gamma_{\ell}^{\mathrm{sc}} \cong \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}).$$

Corollary 1.2 can be applied to the study of the mod $\ell$ Galois images. For any finite group $\bar{\Gamma}$, simple Lie type $\mathfrak{g}$ (e.g., $A_n$, $B_n$, $C_n$, $D_n$, $E_6$,...), and prime $\ell \geq 5$, we defined in [18, 19](see §2.5) the $\mathfrak{g}$-type $\ell$-rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\ell}^{\mathfrak{g}} \bar{\Gamma}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$, which measures the number of finite simple groups of type $\mathfrak{g}$ in characteristic $\ell$ in the composition series of $\bar{\Gamma}$. For example,

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\ell}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f}) := \begin{cases} fn & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = A_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We studied the mod $\ell$ Galois image $\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell} := \phi_{\ell}(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ *arising from étale cohomology* [3] for all sufficiently large $\ell$ in [18] and showed that $\mathrm{rk}_{\ell}^{A_n} \bar{\Gamma}_{\ell}$, the *$A_n$-type $\ell$-rank* of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell}$ is independent of $\ell \gg 1$ if $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$ (see §2.5). However, the $A_n$-type $\ell$-rank cannot distinguish between the *Chevalley group* $A_n(\ell^f)$ and the *Steinberg group* ${}^2A_n(\ell^{2f})$ for $n \geq 2$ since their $A_n$-type $\ell$-ranks are both $fn$. For example, suppose $A_6$ is the only simple factor of $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell_0}$, then $\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell}$ has only one composition factor of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$ for $\ell \gg 1$, which is either the Chevalley group $A_6(\ell)$ or the Steinberg group ${}^2A_6(\ell^2)$. One cannot tell which one occurs for large $\ell$ from the results in [18]. Nevertheless, Corollary 1.3 below provides a precise description of the composition factors of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$ of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell}$ for $\ell \gg 1$ if Hypothesis A is satisfied.

**Definition 3.** For any prime $\ell \geq 5$ and finite group $\bar{\Gamma}$, denote by $\mathrm{Lie}_{\ell}\bar{\Gamma}$ the multiset of the composition factors of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ be a semisimple group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ (some $N$) whose generic fiber is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ ($\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in Theorem 1.1). For all sufficiently large $\ell$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Lie}_{\ell}\bar{\Gamma}_{\ell} = \mathrm{Lie}_{\ell}\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}).$$

**Remark 1.4.** *For the $A_6$ case discussed above, Corollary 1.3 implies (by studying the $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ action on the Dynkin diagram of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{der}}$) either the Chevalley group $A_6(\ell)$ occurs for $\ell \gg 1$ or there is a quadratic extension $F$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that for $\ell \gg 1$, the Chevalley group $A_6(\ell)$ occurs for $\ell$ that splits completely and the Steinberg group ${}^2A_6(\ell^2)$ occurs for $\ell$ that is inert. Such a congruence is useful to the inverse Galois problem and appears, for example, in the computation of the geometric $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$-monodromy of the moduli space of trielliptic curves* [1, Theorem 3.8].

Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 1.1. For any connected reductive subgroup $\mathbf{G}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$, we introduce the notion of *formal bi-character* of $\mathbf{G}$ in Definition 6. We identify $\mathbf{G}_{\ell}$ as a connected reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$ for all $\ell$. Then the method in [17, §3] shows that the formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ (for any embedding $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$) is independent of $\ell$ (Theorem 2.6). By combining the method of *Serre's Frobenius tori* (§2.3), one can pick for each large $\ell$ a formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell}$ such that these formal bi-characters admit a common

[3] Since $\Phi_{\ell}(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ is compact, it fixes some $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$-lattice $L_{\ell}$ of $V_{\ell}$. Then $\phi_{\ell}$ is defined to be the semisimplification of the mod $\ell$ reduction of $\Phi_{\ell}$ with respect to $L_{\ell}$.

$\mathbb{Q}$-form (Theorem 2.7). Under Hypothesis A, the invariance of both the formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and *the positions of roots in the weight space* (§3.1) imply:

(*i*) the root datum of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is independent of $\ell$ (Theorem 3.7);
(*ii*) the conjugacy class of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$ (Corollary 3.8).

The assertion (ii) above is exactly Theorem 1.1(i). We also know that $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is quasi-split for $\ell \gg 1$ by Hypothesis A and Corollary 2.12. The techniques on forms of reductive groups that are essential to the proof of Theorem 1.1(ii) are reviewed in §4. By exploiting these techniques and all the $\ell$-independence results above, we prove the existence of a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $\{\mathbf{G}_\ell\}_{\ell \gg 1}$ in §5, which completes Theorem 1.1(ii).

## 2. Some results of $\ell$-adic representations

2.1. **Strictly compatible systems.** Let $k$ be a positive integer, $K$ a number field, and $\bar{K}$ an algebraic closure of $K$. Denote by $\mathrm{Gal}_K$ the absolute Galois group of $K$ and by $\Sigma_K$ (resp. $\Sigma_{\bar{K}}$) the set of non-Archimedean valuations of $K$ (resp. $\bar{K}$). For each prime number $\ell$, let $\Psi_\ell$ be a $k$-dimensional, continuous $\ell$-adic representation of $K$,

$$\Psi_\ell : \mathrm{Gal}_K \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

For $v \in \Sigma_K$, let $\bar{v} \in \Sigma_{\bar{K}}$ divide $v$. Denote by $D_{\bar{v}}$ and $I_{\bar{v}}$ the decomposition subgroup and inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}_K$ at $\bar{v}$, respectively. Since $D_{\bar{v}}/I_{\bar{v}} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, denote by $\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}} \in D_{\bar{v}}/I_{\bar{v}}$ the element corresponding to $1 \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and call it a *Frobenius element*. Suppose $\bar{v}$ and $\bar{v}'$ both divide $v \in \Sigma_K$. Then the two pairs $I_{\bar{v}} \subset D_{\bar{v}}$ and $I_{\bar{v}'} \subset D_{\bar{v}'}$ of closed subgroups are conjugate in $\mathrm{Gal}_K$. The representation $\Psi_\ell$ is said to be *unramified* at $v$ if $\Psi_\ell(I_{\bar{v}})$ is trivial for some $\bar{v}$ dividing $v$. In this case, it makes sense to define the image of Frobenius element $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$.

**Definition 4.** The system of $\ell$-adic representations $\{\Psi_\ell\}_\ell$ is said to be *strictly compatible* if the following conditions are satisfied.

(*i*) There is a finite subset $S \subset \Sigma_K$ such that $\Psi_\ell$ is unramified outside $S_\ell := S \cup \{v \in \Sigma_K : v|\ell\}$ for all $\ell$.
(*ii*) For all primes $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ and $\bar{v} \in \Sigma_{\bar{K}}$ dividing $v \in \Sigma_K \backslash (S_{\ell_1} \cup S_{\ell_2})$, the characteristic polynomials of $\Psi_{\ell_1}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$ and $\Psi_{\ell_2}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$ are equal to some polynomial $P_v(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ depending only on $v$.

**Examples of strictly compatible systems**.

(*i*) The semisimplification $\{\Psi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}\}_\ell$ of the strictly compatible system $\{\Psi_\ell\}_\ell$. Note that the characteristic polynomials of $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$ and $\Psi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$ (in Definition 4(ii)) are equal.
(*ii*) The direct sum of two strictly compatible systems.
(*iii*) The system of abelian $\ell$-adic representations arising from a $\mathbb{Q}$-representation of *Serre group* $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ [38].
(*iv*) The system of $\ell$-adic representations arising from the $\ell$-adic Tate modules of an abelian variety $A$ defined over $K$.
(*v*) The system of $\ell$-adic representations arising from étale cohomology as in (1).

2.2. **Formal character and bi-character.** Let $F$ be a field and $\mathbf{G}$ a connected reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$. Since $\mathbf{G}$ is connected, the derived subgroup $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is semisimple.

**Definition 5.** Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}$. Then the natural inclusion $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ is said to be a *formal character* of $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ (or of $\mathbf{G}$ for simplicity). Two formal characters $\mathbf{T}_1 \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ and $\mathbf{T}_2 \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ (of $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$, respectively) are isomorphic if $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ (i.e., conjugate by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$).

**Definition 6.** Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}} := (\mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}})^\circ$ a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$. Then the chain $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ is said to be a *formal bi-character* of $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ (or of $\mathbf{G}$ for simplicity). Two formal bi-characters $\mathbf{T}_1^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_1 \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ and $\mathbf{T}_2^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_2 \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ (of $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$, respectively) are isomorphic if the two pairs $\mathbf{T}_1^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_2$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$.

**Remark 2.1.** *If $F$ is algebraically closed, then all formal characters (formal bi-characters) of $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ are isomorphic since all maximal tori are conjugate in $\mathbf{G}$.*

2.3. **Frobenius tori.** Let $\{\Psi_\ell\}_\ell$ be a semisimple, $k$-dimensional, strictly compatible system of $\ell$-adic representations. Denote by $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ the algebraic monodromy group at $\ell$, i.e., the Zariski closure of $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. Assume we have chosen $K$ large enough, then $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is a connected reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ for all $\ell$. Since $\Psi_\ell$ is unramified outside $S_\ell$ (Definition 4), the image of *Frobenius elements*

$$\mathscr{F}_\ell := \{\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}}) : \bar{v} \text{ divides } v \notin S_\ell\}$$

is dense in the Galois image $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ by Cheboterav density theorem. It is also dense in $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ by definition of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$. Definition 7, Theorem 2.2, and its corollaries below are due to Serre [34].

**Definition 7.** For each $\bar{v}$ divides $v \notin S_\ell$, the *Frobenius torus* $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell}$ is defined as the identity component of the smallest algebraic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ containing the semisimple part of $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$.

**Theorem 2.2.** *(Serre) (We use terminology of Larsen-Pink* [24, Theorem 1.2]*, see also* [8, Theorem 3.7]*) Let $\ell$ be a prime and $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}}) \in \mathscr{F}_\ell$. Denote by $p_v$ the characteristic of $v$ and by $q_v$ the cardinality of the residue field of $v$. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied for any eigenvalue $\alpha$ of $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$:*

*(a) the absolute values of $\alpha$ in all complex embeddings are equal;*
*(b) $\alpha$ is a unit at any non-Archimedean place not above $p_v$;*
*(c) for any non-Archimedean valuation $w$ of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $w(p_v) > 0$, the ratio $w(\alpha)/w(q_v)$ belongs to a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q}$ that is independent of $\bar{v}$,*

*then there exists a proper closed subvariety $\mathbf{Y}$ of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ such that $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ whenever $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}}) \in \mathbf{G}_\ell \backslash \mathbf{Y}$.*

Since the Frobenius tori $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v}',\ell}$ are conjugate whenever $\bar{v}|_K = v = \bar{v}'|_K$, the following corollary follows directly.

**Corollary 2.3.** *(See* [8, Corollary 3.8]*,* [24, Corollary 1.4]*) The following subset of $\Sigma_K$ is of Dirichlet density* 1*,*

$$\{v \in \Sigma_K \backslash S_\ell : \mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell} \text{ is a maximal torus of } \mathbf{G}_\ell\}.$$

If we embed $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in $\mathbb{C}$, then $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ is a connected $\mathbb{C}$-reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ for all $\ell$.

**Corollary 2.4.** *The formal character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ (Definition 5) is independent of $\ell$. In particular, the rank of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is independent of $\ell$.*

*Proof.* For all distinct primes $\ell$ and $\ell'$, there exists $\bar{v}$ such that $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ are maximal tori of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$, respectively, by Corollary 2.3. Since $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ only depend on the eigenvalues of $P_v(x)$ (Definition 4(ii)), they are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, the formal character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$ by Remark 2.1. Since the rank of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is defined as the dimension of a maximal torus, it is independent of $\ell$. □

**Corollary 2.5.** *There exist a $\mathbb{Q}$-subtorus $\mathbf{T}_\mathbb{Q}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ and a formal character $\mathbf{T}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$ such that $\mathbf{T}_\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ is a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\{\mathbf{T}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}\}_{\ell \gg 1}$ (i.e., subtori $\mathbf{T} \times_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $\mathbf{T}_\ell$ are conjugate by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ if $\ell$ is sufficiently large).*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.3, there exists $v \notin S$ (Definition 4(i)) such that $\mathbf{T}_\ell := \mathbf{H}_{\bar{v},\ell}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$. Let $A_v \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q})$ be a semisimple matrix with characteristic polynomial $P_v(x)$ (Definition 4(ii)). Then $A_v$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ to the semisimple part of $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$. Hence, if we denote by $\mathbf{T}_\mathbb{Q}$ the identity component of the smallest algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ containing $A_v$, then $\mathbf{T}_\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ is a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\{\mathbf{T}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}\}_{\ell \gg 1}$. □

2.4. **$\ell$-independence of the $\ell$-adic images.** We follow the terminology in §2.3. Let $i : \mathbf{S}_\mathfrak{m} \to \mathrm{GL}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$ be a faithful representation of some Serre group $\mathbf{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ of number field $K$. Then attached to this morphism is a strictly compatible system of abelian semisimple $\ell$-adic representations $\{\Theta_\ell\}_\ell$ of $K$ [38, §2.2]. Consider the direct sum of two strictly compatible systems,

$$\{\Psi_\ell \oplus \Theta_\ell : \mathrm{Gal}_K \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k+m}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\}_\ell. \tag{3}$$

Define $p_1 : \mathrm{GL}_k \times \mathrm{GL}_m \to \mathrm{GL}_k$ (resp. $p_2 : \mathrm{GL}_k \times \mathrm{GL}_m \to \mathrm{GL}_m$) to be the projection to the first (resp. the second) factor. Let $\mathbf{G}'_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times \mathrm{GL}_{m,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ be the algebraic monodromy group at $\ell$ (assuming that it is connected for all $\ell$ by taking a finite extension of $K$) and $\mathbf{T}'_\ell$ be a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}'_\ell$ for all $\ell$. Then $p_1(\mathbf{T}'_\ell)$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$, the algebraic monodromy group of $\Psi_\ell$. We showed in [17, §3] that $\mathbf{T}'_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$ (i.e., for all primes $\ell$ and $\ell'$, the subtori $\mathbf{T}'_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{T}'_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$). This implies $(\mathrm{Ker}(p_2) \cap \mathbf{T}'_\ell)^\circ \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$.

**Theorem 2.6.** [17, Theorem 3.19] *The complex torus $(\mathrm{Ker}(p_2) \cap \mathbf{T}'_\ell)^\circ \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and the formal bi-character (Definition 6)*

$$\mathbf{T}_\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{ss}} := (\mathrm{Ker}(p_2) \cap \mathbf{T}'_\ell)^\circ \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbf{T}_\mathbb{C} := p_1(\mathbf{T}'_\ell) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$$

*of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ is independent of $\ell$. In particular, the semisimple rank of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is independent of $\ell$.*

Let $\Psi_\ell$ be $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ for all $\ell$. By combining all the results of this subsection, we obtain the following theorem for the system (1).

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let $\{\Phi_\ell\}_\ell$ be the system (1) and $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ (connected) the algebraic monodromy group of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ for all $\ell$. There exist two $\mathbb{Q}$-subtori $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ and a formal bi-character $\mathbf{T}_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$ such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ is a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\{\mathbf{T}_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}\}_{\ell \gg 1}$.*

*Proof.* Since $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ and $\Theta_\ell$ satisfy the conditions $(a)$, $(b)$, $(c)$ of Theorem 2.2 ([24, Theorem 1.1], [37, Chapter 2 §3.4]), so does $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} \oplus \Theta_\ell$. Since $\{\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}\}_\ell$ and $\{\Theta_\ell\}_\ell$ are both strictly compatible, there exists a formal character

$$\mathbf{T}'_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times \mathrm{GL}_{m,\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k+m,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

of $\mathbf{G}'_\ell$ such that these formal characters have a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form

$$\mathbf{T}'_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{m,\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k+m,\mathbb{Q}}$$

for all sufficiently large $\ell$ by Corollary 2.5. Define two $\mathbb{Q}$-tori $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ss}} := (\mathrm{Ker}(p_2) \cap \mathbf{T}'_{\mathbb{Q}})^\circ$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} := p_1(\mathbf{T}'_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Define two $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-tori $\mathbf{T}_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} := (\mathrm{Ker}(p_2) \cap \mathbf{T}'_\ell)^\circ$ and $\mathbf{T}_\ell := p_1(\mathbf{T}'_\ell)$. Then

$$\mathbf{T}_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

is a formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ by Theorem 2.6 and admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-form $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ by construction if $\ell$ is sufficiently large. □

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$. Since the formal character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$ (Theorem 2.6), the formal character of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ (in the sense of [17, §2.1]) is likewise independent of $\ell$. We obtained the following $\ell$-independence result by studying the positions of roots in the weight space [17, §2]. Relevant details will be given in §3.1.

**Theorem 2.8.** [17, Theorem 3.21] *Let $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $a_{n,\ell}$ the number of $A_n$ factors of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$. Then the followings hold:*

*(i) The parity of $a_{4,\ell}$ is independent of $\ell$;*
*(ii) $a_{n,\ell}$ is independent of $\ell$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1,2,3,4,5,7,8\}$.*

**Corollary 2.9.** *Suppose Hypothesis A holds, then the complex reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ is independent of $\ell$.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 2.6, the semisimple rank and the dimension of the center of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ are both independent of $\ell$. The corollary follows from Theorem 2.8. □

2.5. **$\ell$-independence of the mod $\ell$ images.** Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and $\mathfrak{g}$ a *Lie type* (e.g., $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, ...$). We define the *$\mathfrak{g}$-type $\ell$-rank* function, $\mathrm{rk}_\ell^{\mathfrak{g}}$, and the *total $\ell$-rank* function, $\mathrm{rk}_\ell$, on finite groups. The dimension of an algebraic group $\mathbf{G}/F$ as an $F$-variety is denoted by $\dim \mathbf{G}$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be a finite simple group of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$. Then there exists an adjoint simple group $\bar{\mathbf{G}}/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f}$ such that

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f})^{\mathrm{der}},$$

the derived group of the group of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f}$-rational points of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$. By base change to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$, we obtain

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell = \prod^m \bar{\mathbf{H}},$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{H}}$ is an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$-adjoint simple group of some Lie type $\mathfrak{h}$. We then set the $\mathfrak{g}$-type $\ell$-rank of $\bar{\Gamma}$ to be

$$\mathrm{rk}_\ell^{\mathfrak{g}}\,\bar{\Gamma} := \begin{cases} f\cdot \mathrm{rk}\,\bar{\mathbf{G}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the total $\ell$-rank of $\bar{\Gamma}$ to be

$$\mathrm{rk}_\ell\,\bar{\Gamma} := \sum_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathrm{rk}_\ell^{\mathfrak{g}}\,\bar{\Gamma}.$$

For simple groups which are not of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$, we define the $\ell$-dimension and the $\mathfrak{g}$-type $\ell$-rank to be zero. We extend the definitions to arbitrary finite groups by defining the $\mathfrak{g}$-type $\ell$-rank and the total $\ell$-rank of any finite group to be the sum of the ranks of its composition factors. This definition makes it clear that $\mathrm{rk}_\ell^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathrm{rk}_\ell$ are additive on short exact sequences of groups. In particular, the $\mathfrak{g}$-type $\ell$-rank and the total $\ell$-rank of every solvable finite group are zero.

Given a strictly compatible system $\{\Psi_\ell\}_\ell$, the Galois image $\Psi_\ell(\mathrm{Gal}_K)$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ which fixes some $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-lattice of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^k$ for all $\ell$. By some change of coordinates, we obtain for each $\ell$ a unique semisimple mod $\ell$ representation

$$\psi_\ell : \mathrm{Gal}_K \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

by reduction mod $\ell$ and semisimplification (Brauer-Nesbitt [10, Theorem 30.16]). We then say that the mod $\ell$ system $\{\psi_\ell\}_\ell$ *arises from the $\ell$-adic system* $\{\Psi_\ell\}_\ell$.

**Theorem 2.10.** [18, Theorem A, Corollary B] *Let $\{\phi_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathscr{P}}$ be the system of mod $\ell$ representations arising from the system $\{\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}\}_\ell$ and $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ the connected reductive algebraic monodromy group of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ for all $\ell$. Denote the image of $\phi_\ell$ by $\bar{\Gamma}_\ell$, then the followings hold for $\ell \gg 1$.*

*(i) The total $\ell$-rank $\mathrm{rk}_\ell\,\bar{\Gamma}_\ell$ of $\bar{\Gamma}_\ell$ is equal to the rank of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ and is therefore independent of $\ell$.*

*(ii) The $A_n$-type $\ell$-rank $\mathrm{rk}_\ell^{A_n}\,\bar{\Gamma}_\ell$ of $\bar{\Gamma}_\ell$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1,2,3,4,5,7,8\}$ and the parity of $(\mathrm{rk}_\ell^{A_4}\,\bar{\Gamma}_\ell)/4$ are independent of $\ell$.*

2.6. **Maximality of the $\ell$-adic images.** Recall the Galois image $\Gamma_\ell$ in Definition 1, the subgroup $\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} \subset \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ in Definition 2, and $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is said to be of type A if every simple factor of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C})$ is of type $A_n$. We studied maximality of $\Gamma_\ell$ inside the $\ell$-adic Lie group $\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ in [19] assuming $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is of type A.

**Theorem 2.11.** [19, Main theorem] *Let $\{\Phi_\ell\}_\ell$ be the system (1). For all sufficiently large $\ell$, if $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ the algebraic monodromy group of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is of type A, then $\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}$ is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.*

**Corollary 2.12.** *For all sufficiently large $\ell$, if $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is of type A, then $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is unramified.*

*Proof.* For all sufficiently large $\ell$, $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ by Theorem 2.11 and $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ splits after an unramified extension by Corollary 2.5. Since $\pi_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} : \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-isogeny and the center of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is unramified for $\ell \gg 1$. □

**Remark 2.13.** *If $X$ is an abelian variety, then the conclusions of Theorem 2.11 hold without any type A assumption on $\mathbf{G}_\ell$* [20].

## 3. $\ell$-INDEPENDENCE OF $\mathbf{G}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$

Let $\{\Phi_\ell\}_\ell$ be the system (1) and suppose the algebraic monodromy group $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is a connected reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ for all $\ell$. We embed $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in $\mathbb{C}$ for all $\ell$, then $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ for all $\ell$ and the formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ is independent of $\ell$ by Theorem 2.6. If $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ is semisimple and the tautological representation on $\mathbb{C}^k$ is irreducible for all $\ell$, then the formal bi-character is indeed the formal character which determines the root lattice and the set of short roots of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ [21, §4 Proposition]. In a lot of cases, the above information determines the root system of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and the representation $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ [21, Theorem 4], which implies the conjugacy class of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$. The purpose of this section is to prove that if Hypothesis A holds, then the formal bi-character

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$$

of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ (Theorem 2.6) determines the root datum [39, §1] of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and the conjugacy class of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ (in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$) for all $\ell$ (Theorem 3.7, Corollary 3.8). All these are based on crucial root computations in [17, §2], which will be explained below.

3.1. **The invariance of the roots in the weight space.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ be two complex semisimple subalgebras of $\mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ is a common Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$. The following notations are defined with respect to $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $R$ and $W$ (resp. $R'$ and $W'$) be the roots and Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}'$), respectively. The semisimple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ have the same weight lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$. The faithful representations $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ have identical formal character ([17, §2.1]),

$$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{C}^k) := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda].$$

Since $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{C}^k)$ generates the *weight space* $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, one can define a positive definite inner product $(( \ , \ ))$ on $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (which is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{R}$-span of $\Lambda$ in $\mathfrak{t}^*$) such that the (finite) subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})$ preserving $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{C}^k)$ is orthogonal [17, §2.3]. Let $\{\mathfrak{q}_i\}_i$ and $\{\mathfrak{q}'_j\}_j$ be the multiset of simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$, respectively. Denote by $R_i$, $\Lambda_i$, and $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $R'_j$, $\Lambda'_j$, and $\Lambda'_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$) the roots, the weight lattice, and the weight space of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q}_i$ (resp. $\mathfrak{q}'_j$) with respect to $\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{q}_i$ (resp. $\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{q}'_j$), respectively. Then $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\Lambda'_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$) can be identified as a subspace of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We obtain $R = \bigcup_i R_i$ (resp. $R' = \bigcup_j R'_j$).

**Lemma 3.1.** *(i) The weight subspaces $\Lambda_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_{i_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ are orthogonal with respect to $(( \ , \ ))$ whenever $i_1 \neq i_2$.*

*(ii) Denote by $( \ , \ )_i$ the inner product on $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ induced by the Killing form of $\mathfrak{q}_i$. Then $c( \ , \ )_i = (( \ , \ ))$ on $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ for some $c > 0$.*

*(iii) Denote by $( \ , \ )$ the inner product on $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ induced by the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\Lambda_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_{i_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ are orthogonal with respect to $( \ , \ )$ whenever $i_1 \neq i_2$. Since the set of subspaces $\{\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}\}_i$ are pairwise orthogonal with respect to positive definite inner products $(( \ , \ ))$ and $( \ , \ )$, we conclude that $(( \ , \ ))$ determines $( \ , \ )$ up to a positive factor on each $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ for all $i$.*

*Proof.* Since $W$ preserves $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{C}^k)$, the weight subspaces $\Lambda_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_{i_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ are orthogonal with respect to $(( \ , \ ))$. This proves (i). Assertion (ii) follows from [6, VI §1 Prop.

5 Cor. (i)]. For (iii), by definition of the Killing form, the weight subspaces $\Lambda_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_{i_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ are orthogonal with respect to $(\ ,\ )$. The conclusion of (iii) then follows from (i) and (ii). □

The following result is obtained implicitly in [17, §2]. Since it is crucial to Proposition 3.6, we make it explicit.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose each simple factor $\mathfrak{q}_i$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1,2,3,5,7,8\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ has at most one $A_4$ factor (the conditions in Hypothesis A). Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}'$ and there is a one to one correspondence between the two multisets $\{\mathfrak{q}_i\}_i$ and $\{\mathfrak{q}'_j\}_j$, denoted by $\{\mathfrak{q}_i \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{q}'_i\}_i$ such that the following conditions hold:*

*(i) $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}'_i$ for all $i$;*
*(ii) $R_i = R'_i$ as subset of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ for all $i$.*

*Proof.* Since $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ have the same formal character $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and the simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfy the conditions in Hypothesis A, $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ are isomorphic [17, Theorem 2.14, 2.17]. Let $u'_j \in R'_j$ be a root of $\mathfrak{q}'_j$ such that the orthogonal projection of $u'_j$ (with respect to $((\ ,\ ))$) to $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is nonzero. Since $\mathfrak{q}_i = A_n$ with $n \geq 4$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A (hence the assumptions of [17, §2.10] are fulfilled), we have

$$u'_j \notin (\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}) \cup (\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})^{\perp}$$

only if $\mathfrak{g}$ has a $A_n$ factor where $n \in \{1,2,5,7\}$ or $\mathfrak{g}$ has two $A_4$ factors [17, Proposition 2.11]. Since these cases are excluded, we obtain

$$u'_j \in \Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $(\Lambda'_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}, R'_j, (\ ,\ )')$, the root system of $\mathfrak{q}'_j$ [15, §21.1] is irreducible, we obtain $R'_j \subset \Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 3.1(iii). Thus, we have $\Lambda'_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \subset \Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Since the number of simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ are equal (because $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}'$) and $R$ (resp. $R'$) generates vector space $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we conclude

$$\Lambda'_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$$

and thus obtain an one to one correspondence $\{\mathfrak{q}_i \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{q}'_i\}_i$ such that (i) holds (because $\dim \mathfrak{q}_i = \dim \mathfrak{q}'_i$). Since $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ are isomorphic and satisfy the simple factor conditions in Hypothesis A, we obtain $R_i \subset R'_i$ and $R'_i \subset R_i$ by

$$\Lambda'_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$$

and [17, §2.13]. We conclude that $R_i = R'_i$ for all $i$, which is (ii). □

3.2. **The root datum and conjugacy class of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$.** Let $F$ be a field with $\bar{F}$ an algebraic closure. To each pair $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ where $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is a connected split reductive group defined over $F$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is a split maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, one associates a root datum $\Psi = \psi(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}) = (\mathbb{X}, R, \mathbb{X}^\vee, R^\vee)$ as follows ([40, Chapter 15], [39, §2 ($F = \bar{F}$)]). Denote by $\mathbb{X}$ the character group of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and by $\mathbb{X}^\vee$ the cocharacter group of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. They are free abelian groups of rank equal to the dimension of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and admit a natural pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$: if $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $u \in \mathbb{X}^\vee$, then $x(u(t)) = t^{\langle x,u\rangle}$ for $t \in \bar{F}^*$. Take $R$ to be the roots of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ (the non-zero characters of the adjoint representation of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$) with respect to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. For $\alpha \in R$, let $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_\alpha$ be the identity component of the kernel of $\alpha$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_\alpha$ the derived group of the centralizer of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_\alpha$ in $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$.

Then $\mathbf{G}_\alpha^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is semisimple of rank 1 and there is a unique homomorphism $\alpha^\vee : F^* \to \mathbf{G}_\alpha$ such that $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}} = (\mathrm{Im}\alpha^\vee)\mathbf{T}_\alpha^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$. These $\alpha^\vee$make up $R^\vee$. A *central isogeny* [40, §9.6.3] $\phi$ of $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ onto $((\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})', (\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})')$ induces an *isogeny* of root data [39, §1],

$$f(\phi) : \psi((\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})', (\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})') \to \psi(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** [40, Theorem 16.3.3, 16.3.2], [39, Theorem 2.9 ($F = \bar{F}$)]

*(i) For any root datum $\Psi$ with reduced root system, there exists a connected split reductive group $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and a maximal split torus $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ in $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ such that $\Psi = \psi(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$. The pair $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ is unique up to isomorphism.*

*(ii) Let $\Psi = \psi(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ and $\Psi' = \psi((\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})', (\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})')$. If $f$ is an isogeny of $\Psi'$ into $\Psi$, then there exists a central isogeny $\phi$ of $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ onto $((\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})', (\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})')$ with $f(\phi) = f$. Two such $\phi$ differ by an inner automorphism $\mathrm{Int}(t)$ ($t \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(F)$) of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$.*

**Remark 3.4.** *If $F = \bar{F}$, then every connected reductive $\mathbf{G}$ over $F$ splits.*

Let $\ell$ and $\ell'$ be two distinct prime numbers. We identify $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ as connected reductive subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$. By Theorem 2.6, the chain

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}} \tag{4}$$

is the formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ (the derived groups of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$).

**Definition 8.** Define the following notations.

(*a*) $\mathbb{X}$: the character group of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$
(*b*) $\mathbb{X}^\vee$: the cocharacter group of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$
(*c*) $R$: the roots of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$
(*d*) $R^\vee$: the coroots of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$
(*e*) $R'$: the roots of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$
(*f*) $(R')^\vee$: the coroots of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$
(*g*) $\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}}$: the character group of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$
(*h*) $(\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}})^\vee$: the cocharacter group of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$
(*i*) $R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$: the roots of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$
(*j*) $R^\vee$: the coroots of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$
(*k*) $R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$: the roots of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$
(*l*) $(R')^\vee$: the coroots of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$

**Remark 3.5.** *The definitions of (i),(j),(k),(l) make sense. Indeed, there are natural map $\mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ and natural inclusion $(\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}})^\vee \subset \mathbb{X}^\vee$ because $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is a subtorus of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$. (i) and (k) come from the restriction of $R$ to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$. (j) and (l) come from the fact that the coroots of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $(\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}})$ are identical.*

**Proposition 3.6.** *If Hypothesis A is satisfied, then $R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ and $R^\vee = (R')^\vee$. Therefore, the root data $(\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}}, R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}, (\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}})^\vee, R^\vee)$ and $(\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}}, R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}, (\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}})^\vee, (R')^\vee)$ of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}})$ and $(\mathbf{G}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}})$, respectively, are equal.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$, and $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$, respectively. Since $\mathfrak{t}$ is a common Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$, it suffices to prove $R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ by showing that the roots of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}$ (i.e., the differentials of $R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ and $R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ at identity) are identical. Since Hypothesis A is satisfied and $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ is a common formal character of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(\mathbb{C})$, we are done by Proposition 3.2(ii).

It remains to prove that $R^\vee = (R')^\vee$. For any complex Lie groups homomorphism $\phi$, denote by $d\phi$ the differential of $\phi$ at identity. Let $\alpha \in R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$, $\alpha^\vee \in R^\vee$ and $(\alpha')^\vee \in (R')^\vee$ be the coroots corresponding to $\alpha$. Then

$$(d\alpha : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{C}) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$$

is a root of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ as well as a root of $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$. If we identify

$$d\alpha^\vee : \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{t} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\alpha')^\vee : \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{t}$$

as elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ by the images of $1 \in \mathbb{C}$, then by construction they are *distinguished elements* of $\mathfrak{t}$ [15, §14.1] corresponding to the root $d\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$, respectively. Let $(\ ,\ )$ and $(\ ,\ )'$ on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ be the inner products induced by the Killing forms of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$, respectively. For $\beta \in R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$, we obtain by [15, Corollary 14.29] that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle &= d\beta(d\alpha^\vee) = \frac{2(d\beta, d\alpha)}{(d\alpha, d\alpha)} = \frac{2||d\beta|| \cos\theta}{||d\alpha||}, \\ \langle \beta, (\alpha')^\vee \rangle &= d\beta(d(\alpha')^\vee) = \frac{2(d\beta, d\alpha)'}{(d\alpha, d\alpha)'} = \frac{2||d\beta||' \cos\theta'}{||d\alpha||'}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\theta$ and $||\cdot||$ (resp. $\theta'$ and $||\cdot||'$) denote the angle between $d\alpha$ and $d\beta$ and the length under inner product $(\ ,\ )$ (resp. inner product $(\ ,\ )'$). Let $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-span of roots $\{d\beta\}$ in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Then $(\ ,\ )$ and $(\ ,\ )'$ are positive definite on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ and define two root systems. In particular, the two Weyl group (of $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{\mathrm{der}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}^{\mathrm{der}}$) actions on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ are orthogonal for both $(\ ,\ )$ and $(\ ,\ )'$. Thus, $(\ ,\ )|_{V_{\mathbb{R}}}$ determines $(\ ,\ )'|_{V_{\mathbb{R}}}$ up to a positive scalar factor on each irreducible root subsystem by Lemma 3.1(iii). Hence, $\theta = \theta'$ always holds and

$$\frac{||d\beta||}{||d\alpha||} = 1 = \frac{||d\beta||'}{||d\alpha||'}$$

if $d\alpha$ and $d\beta$ belong to the same irreducible subsystem. We conclude that $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \beta, (\alpha')^\vee \rangle$ by (5) for all $\beta \in R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$. Since $R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ spans $\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we have $\alpha^\vee = (\alpha')^\vee$ in $(\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{ss}})^\vee$. Hence, $R^\vee = (R')^\vee$. □

**Theorem 3.7.** *If Hypothesis A is satisfied, then $R = R'$. Therefore, the root data $\Psi = (\mathbb{X}, R, (\mathbb{X})^\vee, R^\vee)$ and $\Psi' = (\mathbb{X}, R', (\mathbb{X})^\vee, (R')^\vee)$ of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $(\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$, respectively, are equal. Hence, the root datum of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is independent of $\ell$.*

*Proof.* By Remark 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, the coroots of $\Psi$ and $\Psi'$ are the same, i.e., $R^\vee = (R')^\vee$. It suffices to prove $R = R'$. Let $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. The formal character $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ corresponds to a finite subset $S$ of $\mathbb{X}$ which spans $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The subgroup $G_S$ of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}})$ that preserves $S$ is finite and contains the Weyl groups $W$ and $W'$ of $(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $(\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$, respectively. By Weyl's unitarian trick, there exists a positive definite inner product $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $G_S$ is orthogonal. Denote by $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $V'_{\mathbb{R}}$ the $\mathbb{R}$-spans of

$R$ and $R'$ in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote by $U_{\mathbb{R}}$ the $\mathbb{R}$-span of the characters of $\mathbb{X}$ that annihilate $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$. We obtain

$$V_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus U_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}} = V'_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus U_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{6}$$

Let $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}$ (resp. $(V'_{\mathbb{R}})^{\perp}$) be the orthogonal complement of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $V'_{\mathbb{R}}$) in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}$ (resp. $V'_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $(V'_{\mathbb{R}})^{\perp}$) are both invariant under $W$ (resp. $W'$), and the action of $W$ (resp. $W'$) on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $V'_{\mathbb{R}}$) does not contain trivial subrepresentation, and $W$ (resp. $W'$) is identity on $U_{\mathbb{R}}$, we obtain $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp} = U_{\mathbb{R}} = (V'_{\mathbb{R}})^{\perp}$ by (6), and hence, the following

$$V_{\mathbb{R}} = U_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp} = V'_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{7}$$

For any $\gamma \in R^{\vee} = (R')^{\vee}$, let $v_{\gamma}$ be the unique element in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$(\alpha, v_{\gamma}) = \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}$. Since the images of the coroots generate $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v_{\gamma} : \gamma \in R^{\vee} = (R')^{\vee}\} = U_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}. \tag{8}$$

The natural map $R \to R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ ($R' \to R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$) is a bijection and $R|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = R'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$ by Proposition 3.6. Let $\alpha \in R$ and $\alpha' \in R'$ be two roots such that $\alpha|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}} = \alpha'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}$. Then

$$(\alpha, v_{\gamma}) = \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = \left\langle \alpha|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}, \gamma \right\rangle = \left\langle \alpha'|_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{ss}}}, \gamma \right\rangle = \langle \alpha', \gamma \rangle = (\alpha', v_{\gamma})$$

for all $\gamma \in R^{\vee} = (R')^{\vee}$. Therefore, we obtain $\alpha = \alpha'$ by (7) and (8), which implies $R = R'$. □

**Corollary 3.8.** *If Hypothesis A is satisfied, then the complex reductive subgroups $\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, the conjugacy class of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ is independent of $\ell$.*

*Proof.* Since the root data $\Psi$ and $\Psi'$ are equal, this defines an isomorphism $f : \Psi' \to \Psi$ of root data. By Theorem 3.3(ii), there exists an isomorphism $\phi : (\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $f(\phi) = f$. This implies the standard representation $\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ and the representation $\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathbf{G}_{\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \mathbb{C}$ have the same character. Hence, the two representations are equivalent and the images are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$. □

**Remark 3.9.** *The formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ does not determine $\mathbf{G}$ even if it is of type A: Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_{2,\mathbb{C}}$ (semisimple) and $V$ the standard representation of $\mathbf{G}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Sym}^i V$ the ith symmetric power of $V$ ($\mathrm{Sym}^0 V$ denotes the trivial representation). Let $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}^3 \subset \mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$ the diagonal subgroup and consider the following 3-dimensional representations of $\mathbf{G}$:*

$$\rho_1 := \mathrm{Sym}^0(V) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^1(V).$$
$$\rho_2 := \mathrm{Sym}^2(V).$$

*The images $\rho_1(\mathbf{G}) \cong \mathrm{SL}_{2,\mathbb{C}}$ and $\rho_2(\mathbf{G}) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_{2,\mathbb{C}}$ viewed as subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$ have the same formal character (bi-character)*

$$\{(1, z, z^{-1}) \in \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}^3 \subset \mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}} : z \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$$

*but they are not similar in $\mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$ (not even isomorphic).*

## 4. Forms of reductive groups

Let $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be a connected split reductive group over field $F$. Let $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be a maximal split $F$-subtorus of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, $W$ the Weyl group with respect to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, $\mathbf{N}$ the normalizer of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ in $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, and $\mathbf{B}$ an $F$-Borel subgroup containing $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the center of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} \times_F \bar{F}$ is acted on by $\mathrm{Gal}_F$ in the following way.
If $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_F$, then ${}^{\sigma}\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ so that

$$ {}^{\sigma}\alpha(x) := \sigma(\alpha(\sigma^{-1}x)) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}). \tag{9}$$

The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ admits a short exact sequence of $\mathrm{Gal}_F$-groups [39, Corollary 2.14] (see also [13, XXIV Theorem 1.3])

$$ 1 \to \mathrm{Inn}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} \to \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} \to 1, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathrm{Inn}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, the inner automorphism group is naturally isomorphic to the group of $\bar{F}$-points of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ad}} := \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}/\mathbf{C}$ the adjoint quotient of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, the outer automorphism group is acted on trivially by $\mathrm{Gal}_F$ because $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is split.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The group* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ *contains a* $\mathrm{Gal}_F$*-invariant subgroup that preserves* $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ *and* $\mathbf{B}$ *and is mapped isomorphically onto* $\mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$*. Hence, (10) is a split short exact sequence of* $\mathrm{Gal}_F$*-groups.*

*Proof.* Let $\Delta$ be the set of simple roots with respect to $(\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{B})$. Let $\mathbf{U}_\alpha$ be the root subgroup for $\alpha \in \Delta$ (the construction of Chevalley). It is isomorphic to the $F$-affine line. Choose $u_\alpha \in \mathbf{U}_\alpha(F)\backslash\{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ that leave $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, $\mathbf{B}$, and $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ invariant is mapped isomorphically onto $\mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ by [39, Proposition 2.13, Corollary 2.14]. This subgroup is $\mathrm{Gal}_F$-invariant by (9). □

We then obtain a split short exact sequence of pointed sets by Galois cohomology [37]

$$ 1 \to H^1(F, \mathrm{Inn}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}) \to H^1(F, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(F, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}) \to 1. \tag{11}$$

The elements of $H^1(F, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ are in bijective correspondence with the $F$-forms of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ [37, Chapter 3.1]. If $\mathbf{G}$ is an $F$-form of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, then there exists an $\bar{F}$-isomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} \times_F \bar{F} \to \mathbf{G} \times_F \bar{F}$. The isomorphism class of $\mathbf{G}/F$ is represented by $[c_\sigma] \in H^1(F, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$, where

$$ c_\sigma(x) := \phi^{-1}(\sigma\phi(\sigma^{-1}(x))) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}). \tag{12}$$

Two forms $\mathbf{G}'$ and $\mathbf{G}''$ that map to the same image in $H^1(F, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ are *inner twists* of each other [37, Chapter I §5.5 Corollary 2], i.e., $[\mathbf{G}''] \in H^1(F, \mathrm{Inn}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}')$. The following result is well-known (see for example [9, Chapter X §2], [13, XXIV Theorem 3.11]). We supply a proof that we learnt from [16, Proposition 29.4].

**Theorem 4.2.** *The fibers of* $\pi$ *in (11) are in one to one correspondence with the set of quasi-split* $F$*-forms of* $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$*.*

*Proof.* Let $[c_\sigma]$ be an element of $H^1(F, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$. Then we obtain by Proposition 4.1 an element $[c'_\sigma] \in \pi^{-1}([c_\sigma])$ such that $c'_\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ preserves $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ and is invariant under $\mathrm{Gal}_F$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_F$. The $F$-form $\mathbf{G}'$ corresponding to $[c'_\sigma]$ is obtained by defining an $F$-structure on $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F})$ by the twisted Galois action:

$$ \sigma \cdot x := c'_\sigma(\sigma x) \ \ \forall \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_F, \ x \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}).$$

Since $\mathbf{B}(\bar{F})$ is invariant under $\sigma$ and $c'_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_F$, $\mathbf{G}'$ has a Borel subgroup defined over $F$. Hence, the quasi-split $F$-forms of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ surject onto $H^1(F, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$.

Let $\mathbf{G}'$ and $\mathbf{G}''$ be two quasi-split $F$-forms of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ that map to the same image via $\pi$. They differ by an inner twist $[c_\sigma] \in H^1(F, \mathrm{Inn}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}')$. Let $\mathbf{T}' \subset \mathbf{B}'$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}'' \subset \mathbf{B}''$) be an embedding of an $F$-maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}'$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}''$) in an $F$-Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}'$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}''$). Let $\mathbf{C}'$ be the center of $\mathbf{G}'$ and $\Delta'$ the simple roots of $\mathbf{G}'$ with respect to $(\mathbf{T}', \mathbf{B}')$. We may assume $c_\sigma \in \mathbf{T}'/\mathbf{C}'$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_F$ [39, Proposition 2.5(ii)]. Since $\mathrm{Gal}_F$ permutes $\Delta'$ which is a basis of characters of $\mathbf{T}'/\mathbf{C}'$, torus $\mathbf{T}'/\mathbf{C}'$ is a direct sum of induced tori, i.e., there exist finite separable extensions $F_1, ..., F_k$ of $F$ such that

$$\mathbf{T}'/\mathbf{C}' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{Ind}_{F_i}^{F} \mathbb{G}_{m,F_i}.$$

By Shapiro's lemma and Hilbert's Theorem 90, we obtain $H^1(F, \mathbf{T}'/\mathbf{C}') = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbf{G}'$ and $\mathbf{G}''$ are $F$-isomorphic and we conclude that the quasi-split $F$-forms of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ map bijectively onto $H^1(F, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$. □

Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ that preserves $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ the automorphism group of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}} \times_F \bar{F}$. Although the following proposition is contained in [13, XXIV Proposition 2.6], we still provide a proof.

**Proposition 4.3.** *With the notations introduced above. The following commutative diagram of* $\mathrm{Gal}_F$*-groups has exact rows and columns, where the maps from the top row to the middle row are given by inner automorphisms by elements of* $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F})$ *and the first two maps from the middle row to the bottom row are given by the restriction to* $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$*, i.e.,* $\Omega_{\bar{F}} := \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}/\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F})$ *can be identified as a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$*.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{C}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Res} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\bar{F}} := \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}/\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array} \tag{13}$$

*Proof.* It is clear that the diagram is commutative and the rows and columns are exact. The only thing one needs to show is that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}/\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F})$ embeds into $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ by restricting automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ to the maximal torus $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, write $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ where $\beta \in \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{C}(\bar{F})$ and $\gamma$ fixes $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ by the splitting of Proposition 4.1. If $\alpha$ is trivial in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, then $\beta = \gamma^{-1}$ on $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Since $W$ acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers and $\gamma$ fixes the chamber corresponding to $\mathbf{B}$, $\beta$ belongs to the image of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F})$. This implies $\gamma$ is trivial on $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and thus $\alpha = \beta$. □

**Remark 4.4.** *The elements of* $H^1(F, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$ *are in bijective correspondence with the* $F$*-forms of* $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})$*, i.e., the* $F$*-reductive groups* $\mathbf{G}$ *together with an* $F$*-maximal torus* $\mathbf{T}$ *such that after extending scalars to* $\bar{F}$*, there exists an* $\bar{F}$*-isomorphism*

$$\phi : \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}} \times_F \bar{F} \to \mathbf{G} \times_F \bar{F}$$

*taking* $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}} \times_F \bar{F}$ *onto* $\mathbf{T} \times_F \bar{F}$. *The isomorphism class of* $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$ *is then represented by* $[c_\sigma] \in H^1(F, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{F}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}} \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}})$, *where*

$$c_\sigma(x) := \phi^{-1}(\sigma\phi(\sigma^{-1}(x))) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}(\bar{F}).$$

## 5. Proofs of the main results

5.1. **Theorem 1.1.** We obtain Theorem 1.1(i) by Corollary 3.8. The proof of Theorem 1.1(ii) consists of several ingredients which are established separately. Lemma 5.1 and 5.2 below are special cases of [47, Proposition 10] and [25, Theorem 1.1].

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a connected reductive group over* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. *Then there is a bijective correspondence from the equivalence classes of finite dimensional* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$*-representations of* $\mathbf{G}$ *to the equivalent classes of finite dimensional* $\mathbb{C}$*-representations of* $\mathbf{G} \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C}$ *given by base change* $i : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{C}$.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let* $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be two algebraically closed fields and* $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}' \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$ *two connected reductive subgroups over* $F$. *If* $\mathbf{G} \times_F \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\mathbf{G}' \times_F \mathbb{C}$ *are conjugate in* $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$, *then* $\mathbf{G}$ *and* $\mathbf{G}'$ *are conjugate in* $\mathrm{GL}_{k,F}$.

Let $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ be the subtori in Theorem 2.7. Then we may assume

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \subset \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \tag{14}$$

is a formal bi-character of the algebraic monodromy group $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$. Let $M \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be an invertible matrix such that $\phi_M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) := M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}})M^{-1}$ is diagonal in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$. This matrix is chosen once and for all. Then $\phi_M(\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \phi_M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and we obtain a chain of algebraic groups

$$\phi_M(\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}}) := \phi_M(\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \phi_M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}) := \phi_M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}} \tag{15}$$

such that the first two are diagonal (split) subtori.

**Proposition 5.3.** *There exists a connected split reductive subgroup* $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *of* $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ *admitting (15) as a formal bi-character such that* $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ *and* $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ *are conjugate in* $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ *for all sufficiently large* $\ell$.

*Proof.* Pick a large prime $\ell'$ and embeddings $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $M \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_k(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and the base change of (15) to $\mathbb{C}$

$$\phi_M(\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \subset \phi_M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}} \tag{16}$$

is the formal bi-character of $\phi_M(\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the connected split reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ are isomorphic (Theorem 3.3(i)). Then $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be embedded into $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ by Lemma 5.1 and the fact that any $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$-representation of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be descended to a $\mathbb{Q}$-representation of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [44, Theorem 2.5]. Hence, $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}$ are also conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{C}}$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$ and any embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}$ by Corollary 3.8. This implies $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and $\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{k,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ by $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}$ and Lemma 5.2. Since $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is split and (16) is the formal bi-character of $\phi_M(\mathbf{G}_{\ell'} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C})$, we may assume (15) is a formal bi-character of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. □

**Definition 9.** For all sufficiently large $\ell$, define the following notations.

(*i*) $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \phi_M(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}})$
(*ii*) $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ssp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \phi_M(\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$
(*iii*) $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} := \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$
(*iv*) $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} := \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$
(*v*) $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ssp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} := \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ssp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$

For any non-Archimedean valuation $\bar{v}$ on $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ extending the $\ell$-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$, there exists an embedding $i_{\bar{v}} : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that the restriction of the natural non-Archimedean valuation of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $\bar{v}$. Then we obtain a monomorphism $f_{\bar{v}} : \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the image of $f_{\bar{v}}$ is the decomposition subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at $\bar{v}$.

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any non-Archimedean valuation $\bar{v}$ on $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ extending the $\ell$-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$, there is a natural morphism $h_{\bar{v}}$ of the diagram (13) for $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ to the diagram (13) for $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ which is compatible with $f_{\bar{v}} : \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \to \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in the sense of* [37, Chapter 1 §2.4] *(i.e., when we view the diagram (13) for $\mathbb{Q}$ as a $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$-diagram via $f_{\bar{v}}$, then $h_{\bar{v}}$ is a $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$-morphism of $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$-diagrams) such that $h_{\bar{v}} : \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ and $h_{\bar{v}} : \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \to \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ are isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* The embedding $i_{\bar{v}} : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ identifies the following natural inclusions and canonical isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) &\subset \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell);\\
\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) &\subset \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell);\\
\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}},\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} &\subset \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell};\\
\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} &\cong \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell};\\
\text{Weyl group for } \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}} &\cong \text{Weyl group for } \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

which induce two inclusions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} &\subset \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell};\\
\Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} &\subset \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first one is an isomorphism by Theorem 3.3(ii). Hence, the second one is also an isomorphism by the isomorphism of the outer automorphism groups, the isomorphism of the Weyl groups, and the exactness of the bottom row of the diagram (13). These inclusions and isomorphisms comprise $h_{\bar{v}}$, which is compatible with $f_{\bar{v}}$ because (17) is compatible with $f_{\bar{v}}$. □

We have the $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism $\phi_M : \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. For all sufficiently large $\ell$ and $\bar{v}$ as above, $M_{\bar{v}} := i_{\bar{v}}(M)$ belongs to $\mathrm{GL}_k(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and we obtain a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-isomorphism $\phi_{M_{\bar{v}}} : \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. The corollary below follows directly from (12) and Lemma 5.4.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
(c_\sigma) &:= (c_\sigma = \phi_M(\phi^{-1}_{\sigma M}) : \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \in Z^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}})\\
(c_{\bar{v},\sigma}) &:= (c_{\bar{v},\sigma} = \phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}(\phi^{-1}_{\sigma M_{\bar{v}}}) : \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \in Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

*be the cocycles whose cohomology classes represent* $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *and* $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$*, respectively. Then* $c_{\bar{v},\sigma} = h_{\bar{v}} \circ c_\sigma \circ f_{\bar{v}}$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$.

**Proposition 5.6.** *For all sufficiently large* $\ell$ *and* $\bar{v}$ *as above, there exists an isomorphism*

$$\phi_{\bar{v}} : (\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to (\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

*and the cocycle* $(c'_{\bar{v},\sigma}) := (c'_{\bar{v},\sigma} = \phi_{\bar{v}} \sigma \phi_{\bar{v}}^{-1} \sigma^{-1} : \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \in Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ *representing* $(\mathbf{G}_\ell, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ *(Remark 4.4) such that the following equation of cocycles holds*

$$\mathrm{Res}(c'_{\bar{v},\sigma}) = (c_{\bar{v},\sigma}),$$

*where* Res *is the map in the diagram (13) and* $(c_{\bar{v},\sigma})$ *in (18).*

*Proof.* It suffices to find an isomorphism $\phi_{\bar{v}}$ such that the restriction of $\phi_{\bar{v}}$ to $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is $\phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}$. By Proposition 5.3, there exists $P_{\bar{v}} \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that

$$\phi_{P_{\bar{v}}}(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := (P_{\bar{v}}(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) P_{\bar{v}}^{-1}, P_{\bar{v}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) P_{\bar{v}}^{-1}) = (\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Write $P_{\bar{v}} = N_{\bar{v}} M_{\bar{v}}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_k(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Then by Proposition 5.3 again, $\phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ have the same formal bi-character

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ssp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \subset \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}.$$

Since the algebraic monodromy groups satisfy Hypothesis A, the root data of $(\phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))$ and $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ are identical by embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ into $\mathbb{C}$ and applying Theorem 3.7. Let this root datum be $\Psi_{\bar{v}}$. Then the isomorphism $\phi_{N_{\bar{v}}}$ between the two pairs $(\phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}(\mathbf{G}_\ell \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \phi_{M_{\bar{v}}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))$ and $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ induces an automorphism $f(\phi_{N_{\bar{v}}})$ of $\Psi_{\bar{v}}$. By Theorem 3.3(ii), there exists an automorphism $\Lambda_{\bar{v}}$ of $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that the induced map $f(\Lambda_{\bar{v}})$ on $\Psi_{\bar{v}}$ is equal to $f(\phi_{N_{\bar{v}}})^{-1}$. Therefore, $\phi_{\bar{v}} := \Lambda_{\bar{v}} \circ \phi_{P_{\bar{v}}}$ is the desired isomorphism. □

**Theorem 1.1(ii).** Let $\{\Phi_\ell\}_\ell$ be the system (1) and $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ the algebraic monodromy group (connected) of $\Phi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$ for all $\ell$. Suppose Hypothesis A is satisfied. Then there exists a connected quasi-split reductive group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that for all $\ell$ sufficiently large:

$$\mathbf{G}_\ell \cong \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

*Proof.* Let $\Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ (resp. $\Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$) be the group defined in Proposition 4.3 for $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (resp. $(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$). From now on we assume $\ell$ is sufficiently large and $\bar{v}$ is a valuation of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ extending the $\ell$-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the cocycle $(c_{\bar{v},\sigma})$ in (18) belongs to $Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell})$ by Proposition 5.6. We view $(c_\sigma)$ (resp. $(c_{\bar{v},\sigma})$) as a homomorphism from $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ to $\Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$) since the Galois action on the target group is trivial. Since the image of $(c_\sigma)$ is finite, it is unramified except finitely many primes. Hence, its image is determined by the image of the Frobenius elements (i.e., the image of $c_\sigma \circ f_{\bar{v}}$) by Chebotarev density theorem. Since $c_{\bar{v},\sigma} = h_{\bar{v}} \circ c_\sigma \circ f_{\bar{v}}$ (Corollary 5.5), $\mathrm{Im}(c_{\bar{v},\sigma}) \subset \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$, and $h_{\bar{v}} : \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \to \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}$ is an isomorphism (Lemma 5.4) for all $\bar{v}|\ell$ and sufficiently large $\ell$, the image of cocycle $(c_\sigma)$ is contained in $\Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$, i.e., $(c_\sigma) \in Z^1(\mathbb{Q}, \Omega_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Hence by the diagram (13), the cocycle $(c_\sigma)$ maps to the cohomology class $[\bar{c}_\sigma] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and corresponds to a unique connected reductive quasi-split group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. Let $[\bar{c}_{\bar{v},\sigma}]$ be the

cohomology class of the cocycle $(\bar{c}_{\bar{v},\sigma}) \in Z^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, which is independent of $\bar{v}$ for every fixed $\ell$. Since $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is quasi-split (Corollary 2.12), $[\bar{c}_{\bar{v},\sigma}]$ corresponds to $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ by construction (Proposition 5.6), Proposition 4.1, and Theorem 4.2. Since $h_{\bar{v}} \circ \bar{c}_\sigma \circ f_{\bar{v}} = \bar{c}_{\bar{v},\sigma}$ (in $\mathrm{Out}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$) by Corollary 5.5 and both $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ are quasi-split, we obtain $\mathbf{G}_\ell \cong \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ by Theorem 4.2. □

**Remark 5.7.** *(i) Suppose $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the projection of the Frobenius torus $\mathbf{T}'_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbf{H}'_{\bar{v},\ell'}$ for some large prime $\ell'$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.7). Then $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ contains $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ as a maximal torus and is an inner twist of quasi-split $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all prime $\ell$ except $\ell'$.*

*(ii) Assume for simplicity that $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ is an inner twist of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all $\ell$. Constructing a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ for all $\ell$ amounts to solve for a $\mathbb{Q}$-central simple algebra with prescribed local invariant $\tau_\ell \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ (which corresponds to the inner twist) for all prime $\ell$. By the fundamental exact sequence of Brauer groups for $\mathbb{Q}$*

$$1 \to \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \bigoplus_v \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_v) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 1,$$

*it is equivalent to show that the sum of these local invariants belongs to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since the only thing we know is $\tau_\ell = 0$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$ (Theorem 2.12), finding a $\mathbb{Q}$-form for all $\ell$ needs extra information.*

*(iii) It is reasonable to ask if the data $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}}$ (a $\mathbb{Q}$-form of formal character of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$), the $\ell$-independence of absolute root datum (Theorem 3.7), and Tits's theory of descending representations* [44] *are enough to construct for all sufficiently large $\ell$ a common $\mathbb{Q}$-form of $\mathbf{G}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{k,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. We tried but did not succeed.*

5.2. **Corollary 1.2 and 1.3.** Recall $\Gamma_\ell \subset \mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is the image of $\Phi^{\mathrm{ss}}_\ell$ for all $\ell$ (Definition 1). By Definition 2, we obtain the morphisms $\pi^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell : \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell \to \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_\ell$ and $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}}_\ell : \mathbf{G}_\ell \to \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ss}}_\ell$ and the groups $\Gamma^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell$ and $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ss}}_\ell$ for all $\ell$.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ be a semisimple group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ (some $N$) whose generic fiber is $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ($\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in Theorem 1.1). For all sufficiently large $\ell$, we have

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell \cong \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

*Proof.* Let $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the universal covering group of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Theorem 1.1(ii), we have $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell \cong \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for $\ell \gg 1$. Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ be semisimple group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ (some $N$) whose generic fibers are $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, respectively. The central isogeny

$$\pi^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

can be extended to a morphism of smooth affine group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N'}]$ ($N'$ is some multiple of $N$)

$$\pi^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N'}]} : \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N'}] \to \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N'}]. \tag{19}$$

Since all hyperspecial subgroups of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are isomorphic [45, §2.5] and

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell \subset \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

for sufficiently large $\ell$ is hyperspecial by Theorem 2.11, we obtain $\Gamma^{\mathrm{sc}}_\ell \cong \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 1$ by [45, §3.9.1]. □

Let $\ell \geq 5$ be prime, $\mathbf{H}_\ell$ a connected algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, and $\Delta_\ell$ a compact subgroup of $\mathbf{H}_\ell(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Then by embedding $\mathbf{H}_\ell$ into some $\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ and finding some $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-lattice of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^n$ invariant under $\Delta_\ell$, one obtains a finite subgroup $\bar{\Delta}_\ell$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ by taking mod $\ell$ reduction. Then $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\bar{\Delta}_\ell$ (Definition 3) is independent of embedding $\mathbf{H}_\ell \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ and mod $\ell$ reduction because the kernel of $\Delta_\ell \twoheadrightarrow \bar{\Delta}_\ell$ is pro-solvable. This allows us to make the following definition.

**Definition 10.** For any prime $\ell \geq 5$ and compact subgroup $\Delta_\ell$ of $\mathbf{H}_\ell(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, the composition factors of Lie type in characteristic $\ell$ of $\Delta_\ell$, denoted by $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Delta_\ell$, is defined to be the multiset $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\bar{\Delta}_\ell$ (Definition 3), where the finite group $\bar{\Delta}_\ell$ is constructed above.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Suppose $\ell \geq 5$. Then $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}$.*

*Proof.* Since the kernel of

$$\pi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} : \Gamma_\ell \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$$

is pro-solvable, we obtain $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$. Since the kernel and cokernel of

$$\pi_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} \circ \pi_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} : \Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}}$$

are abelian, we obtain $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}}$. We are done. □

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ be a semisimple group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ (some $N$) whose generic fiber is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ ($\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in Theorem 1.1). For all sufficiently large $\ell$, we have

$$\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\bar{\Gamma}_\ell = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell).$$

*Proof.* Since the mod $\ell$ representation $\phi_\ell$ (§2.5) is the semisimplification of a mod $\ell$ reduction of $\Phi_\ell$ and $\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\bar{\Gamma} = \emptyset$ for any finite solvable group $\bar{\Gamma}$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\bar{\Gamma}_\ell = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} \tag{20}$$

for all $\ell$ by Definition 10 and Lemma 5.8. Since $\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} \cong \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for $\ell \gg 1$ by Corollary 1.2, the kernel of reduction map $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is pro-solvable, and the kernel and cokernel of $\pi^{\mathrm{sc}}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N'}]} : \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \to \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (19) are abelian for $\ell \gg 1$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Lie}_\ell\Gamma_\ell^{\mathrm{sc}} = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = \mathrm{Lie}_\ell\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell). \tag{21}$$

We are done by (20) and (21). □

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Michael Larsen and Gabor Wiese for their comments on an earlier preprint. I would like to thank the anonymous referees for pointing out [13],[25],[47] that has simplified §4. I am also grateful to them for many helpful comments and suggestions which have greatly improved the readability of the paper.

## References


[1] J. D. Achter, R. Pries: The integral monodromy of hyperelliptic and trielliptic curves, *Math. Ann.* **338** (2007), no. 1, 187–206.

[2] G. Banaszak, W. Gajda, P. Krasoń: On Galois representations for abelian varieties with complex and real multiplications, *J. Number Theory* **100** (2003), no. 1, 117–132.

[3] G. Banaszak, W. Gajda, P. Krasoń: On the image of $l$-adic Galois representations for abelian varieties of type I and II, *Doc. Math.* 2006, Extra Vol., 35–75.

[4] G. Banaszak, W. Gajda, P. Krasoń: On the image of Galois $l$-adic representations for abelian varieties of type III, *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **62** (2010), no. 2, 163–189.

[5] M. V. Borovoi: The Hodge group and the algebra of endomorphisms of an abelian variety, in: Problems of Group Theory and Homological Algebra (A. L. Onishchik, ed.) *Yaroslav. Gos. Univ., Yaroslavl* (1981) 124–126.

[6] N. Bourbaki: Groupes et algébres de Lie, Paris: Masson 1981.

[7] W. Chi: On the $\ell$-adic representations attached to some absolutely simple abelian varieties of type II, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, Sect. IA, Math. **37** (1990) 467–484.

[8] W. Chi: $\ell$-adic and $\lambda$-adic representations associated to abelian varieties defined over number fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **114** (1992) 315–353.

[9] Algebraic Number Theory, Proceedings of the instructional conference held at the University of Sussex, Brighton, September 1-17, 1965 (2nd ed.), edited by J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, *London Mathematical Society*.

[10] C. W. Curtis, I. Reiner: Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, reprint of the 1962 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York*, 1988.

[11] P. Deligne: La conjecture de Weil I, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, **43** (1974), 273-307.

[12] P. Deligne: Hodge cycles on abelian varieties, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, pp. 9–100, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 900, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin- New York*, 1982.

[13] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck: Schémas en groupes. III: Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **153** *Springer-Verlag*, *Berlin-New York* 1962/1964 viii+529 pp.

[14] G. Faltings: Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. *Invent. Math.* **73** 1983, no. 3, 349–366.

[15] W. Fulton, J. Harris: Representation Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 129 (1st ed.), *Springer-Verlag* 1991.

[16] P. Gille: Questions de rationalité sur les groupes algébriques linéaires, notes 2008.

[17] C. Y. Hui: Monodromy of Galois representations and equal-rank subalgebra equivalence, *Math. Res. Lett.* **20** (2013), no. 4, 705-728.

[18] C. Y. Hui: $\ell$-independence for compatible systems of (mod $\ell$) Galois representations, accepted in Compos. Math., arXiv:1305.2001, preprint.

[19] C. Y. Hui, M. Larsen: Type A images of Galois representations and maximality, arXiv:1305.1989, preprint 2014.

[20] C. Y. Hui, M. Larsen: Adelic openness without the Mumford-Tate conjecture, in preparation.

[21] M. Larsen, R. Pink: Determining representations from invariant dimensions, *Invent. Math.* **102**, 377-398 (1990).

[22] M. Larsen, R. Pink: On $\ell$-independence of algebraic monodromy groups in compatible systems of representations, *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), 603-636.

[23] M. Larsen, R. Pink: Abelian varieties, $\ell$-adic representations and $\ell$-independence, *Math. Ann.* **302** (1995), 561–579.

[24] M. Larsen, R. Pink: A connectedness criterion for $\ell$-adic Galois representations, *Israel J. Math.* **97** (1997), 1-10.

[25] B. Margaux: Vanishing of Hochschild cohomology for affine group schemes and rigidity of homomorphisms between algebraic groups, *Documenta Math.* **14** (2009), 653–672.

[26] D. Mumford: Families of abelian varieties, *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Boulder, CO, 1965)*, pp. 347-351, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 9, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 1966.
[27] H. Pohlmann: Algebraic cycles on abelian varieties of complex multiplication type, *Ann. Math.* **88** (1968), 161–180.
[28] I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro: Interrelations between the Tate and Hodge conjectures for abelian varieties (Russian), *Math. USSR Sbornik* **14** (1971), 615–625.
[29] R. Pink: $\ell$-adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford-Tate conjecture, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **495** (1998), 187-237.
[30] K. A. Ribet: Galois action on division points of abelian varieties with real multiplications, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), no. 3, 751–804.
[31] K. A. Ribet: Dividing rational points of abelian varieties of CM type, *Compositio Math.* **33** (1976), 69–74.
[32] K. A. Ribet: Division points of abelian varieties with complex multiplication, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **2** (1980), 75–94.
[33] J.-P. Serre: Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), no. 4, 259-331.
[34] J.-P. Serre: Letter to K. A. Ribet, Jan. 1, 1981, reproduced in *Coll. Papers*, vol. IV, no. 133.
[35] J.-P. Serre: Résumé des cours de 1984-1985, reproduced in *Coll. Papers*, vol. IV, no. 135.
[36] J.-P. Serre: Propriétés conjecturales des groupes de Galois motiviques et des représentations l-adiques, *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, pp. 377-400, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 55, Part 1, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI,* 1994.
[37] J.-P. Serre: Galois cohomology. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
[38] J.-P. Serre: Abelian $l$-adic representation and elliptic curves, Research Notes in Mathematics Vol. 7 (2nd ed.), *A K Peters* (1998).
[39] T. A. Springer: Reductive groups. Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, pp. 3–27, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
[40] T. A. Springer: Linear algebraic groups, reprint of the 1998 2nd ed., *Birkhaüser* (2008).
[41] S. G. Tankeev: On the Mumford-Tate conjecture for abelian varieties, *J. Math. Sci.* **81** (1996), no. 3, 2719–2737.
[42] S. G. Tankeev: Cycles on abelian varieties and exceptional numbers, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk. Ser. Mat.* **60** (1996), 159–194, translation in *Izv. Math.* **60** (1996), no. 2, 391–424.
[43] J. Tate: Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions, *Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry, Harper and Row, New York* (1965) 93-110.
[44] J. Tits: Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **247** (1971), 196-200.
[45] J. Tits: Reductive groups over local fields. Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, pp. 29–69, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
[46] A. Vasiu: Some cases of the Mumford-Tate conjecture and Shimura varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57** (2008), no. 1, 1–75.
[47] E. B. Vinberg: On invariants of a set of matrices, *J. of Lie Theory* **6** (1996), 249–269.
[48] Y. G. Zarhin: Abelian varieties, $\ell$-adic representations and Lie algebras Rank independence on $\ell$, *Invent. Math.* **55** (1979), no. 4, 165–176.
[49] Y. G. Zarhin: Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic Jacobians, *Moscow Math. J.* **2** (2002), no. 2, pp. 403–431.
[50] B. Zhao: On The Mumford-Tate conjecture of abelian fourfolds, preprint 2014.